■JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

| aco oxiva dooxier oxioe. (DED 1 | THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF THE PORCE. | | | | | |
|--|---|------------|--|---|--|--|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | | | DEFENDANTS | | | |
| MAZIE HORSEY and JAMES JOHNSON | | | NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. | | | |
| (b) County of Residence | e of First Listed Plaintiff | | County of Residence | of First Listed Defendant | | |
| (c) Attorney's (Firm Na | ame, Address, Telephone Number and Email Ac | ddress) | NOTE: INTAI | ND CONDEMNATION CASES 119 | SE THE LOCATION OF THE | |
| Craig Thor Kimmel, Esquire | | | NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. | | | |
| Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike | | | Attorneys (If Known) | | | |
| Ambler, PA 19002 | | | | | | |
| (215) 540-8888 II. BASIS OF JURISI | DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) | ТП С | | PDINCIPAL PARTIES | (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff | |
| | • | m. C | (For Diversity Cases Only) | | and One Box for Defendant) | |
| □ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | ▼ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) | Citiz | | PTF DEF 1 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi | | |
| ☐ 2 U.S. Government | ☐ 4 Diversity | Citiz | en of Another State | 2 Incorporated and I | | |
| Defendant | (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) | | | of Business In A | | |
| | | | en or Subject of a foreign Country | 3 G 3 Foreign Nation | | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUI | | | | | | |
| CONTRACT | | | ORFEITURE/PENALTY | | 1 | |
| ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine | PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJU □ 310 Airplane □ 362 Personal Injur | | 10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug | ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal | ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust | |
| ☐ 130 Miller Act | ☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpract | ice 🗇 62 | 25 Drug Related Seizure | 28 USC 157 | 430 Banks and Banking | |
| ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment | Liability | | of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws | **PROPERTY RIGHTS | ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation | |
| & Enforcement of Judgment | | | 40 R.R. & Truck | ☐ 820 Copyrights | 400 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and | |
| ☐ 151 Medicare Act | ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product | | 50 Airline Regs. | □ 830 Patent | Corrupt Organizations | |
| ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans | Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPE | | 50 Occupational Safety/Health | ☐ 840 Trademark | ■ 480 Consumer Credit ■ 490 Cable/Sat TV | |
| (Excl. Veterans) | ☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud | □ 69 | 00 Other | | ☐ 810 Selective Service | |
| ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits | Liability 371 Truth in Lendin 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal | | LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards | SOCIAL SECURITY (1395ff) | ■ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange | |
| ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits | ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 386 Other Personal | | Act | ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) | □ 875 Customer Challenge | |
| ☐ 190 Other Contract | Product Liability 385 Property Dama | | 20 Labor/Mgmt, Relations | ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) | 12 USC 3410 | |
| ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise | 360 Other Personal Product Liabilit | y 1 73 | 80 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act | ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) | ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts | |
| REAL PROPERTY | CIVID RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO | | 40 Railway Labor Act | FEDERAL TAX SUITS | ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act | |
| ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure | ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vac ☐ 442 Employment Sentence | | 00 Other Labor Litigation 01 Empl. Ret. Inc. | 3 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) | ☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act | |
| 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment | 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus: | '' | Security Act | ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party | □ 895 Freedom of Information | |
| ☐ 240 Torts to Land | Accommodations 530 General | an sidilar | | 26 USC 7609 | Act | |
| ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property | ☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & C | | IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application | | ☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access | |
| ,, | Employment 🗖 550 Civil Rights | □ 46 | 3 Habeas Corpus - | | to Justice | |
| | ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 555 Prison Condition | | Alien Detainee 55 Other Immigration | | ☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes | |
| | 1 440 Other Civil Rights | 1 | Actions | | Disso States | |
| | | } | | | | |
| | an "X" in One Box Only) | ' | Two. | aformed from | Appeal to District | |
| | ate Court Appellate Court | Reor | pened anoth | sferred from or district figure 6 Multidistr ify) Litigation | | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTI | | | Do not cite jurisdiction | nal statutes unless diversity): | | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTI | Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A | Act | | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 | DN D | EMAND \$ | CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND: | if demanded in complaint: | |
| VIII. RELATED CAS | (See instructions): | | | DOCKET ALB (DED | | |
| | JUDGE | | | DOCKET NUMBER | | |
| Explanation: | | | / | | | |
| DATE | SIGNATURE (| OF ATTO | RAEY OF RECORD | | | |
| | land i | 1 | , | | | |
| 05/29/2012 | | / / / | \sim | | | |

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

| JOHNSON | | : CIVIL ACTION | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | : : | |
| | v. | : | |
| NCO FINANCIA | L SYSTEMS, INC. | : NO. | |
| plaintiff shall comp filing the complain side of this form.) designation, that de plaintiff and all othe | plete a Case Management t and serve a copy on all do In the event that a defo fendant shall, with its first | se and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, court Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the efendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the endant does not agree with the plaintiff regards appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve ment Track Designation Form specifying the track the signed. | time of reverse ing said e on the |
| SELECT ONE O | F THE FOLLOWING C | ASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS: | |
| (a) Habeas Corpus | - Cases brought under 28 | U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. | () |
| | Cases requesting review vices denying plaintiff So | of a decision of the Secretary of Health cial Security Benefits. | () |
| (c) Arbitration – C | ases required to be designated | ated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. | (X) |
| (d) Asbestos – Cas exposure to asb | | rsonal injury or property damage from | () |
| commonly refer | red to as complex and that | fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are at need special or intense management by | |
| management ca | | for a detailed explanation of special | () |
| (f) Standard Mana | gement – Cases that do no | t fall into any one of the other tracks. | () |
| 05/29/2012 Date | Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney-at-law | Plaintiffs, Mazie Horsey & James Johnson Attorney for | |
| 215-540-8888 Telephone | 877-788-2864 FAX Number | <u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u> E-Mail Address | |

Case 2:12-cv-02986-ER Document 1 Filed 05/31/12 Page 3 of 11

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: ____ _ Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2.

FELA □ Airplane Personal Injury 3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. □ Assault, Defamation □ Antitrust □ Marine Personal Injury 5.
Patent 5. ☐ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. □ Civil Rights 7. □ Products Liability 8. □ Habeas Corpus 8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. X All other Federal Question Cases 15 V.S.C. \$ 1692 (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) counsel of record do hereby certify: □ Pursuant Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; ☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any see now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. Attorney-at-Law

CIV. 609 (6/08)

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 4 MAZIE HORSEY and JAMES JOHNSON, 5 6 **Plaintiffs** Case No.: 7 V. 8 **COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR** NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., **JURY TRIAL** 9 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 10 11 **COMPLAINT** 12 MAZIE HORSEY and JAMES JOHNSON ("Plaintiffs"), by and through 13 14 their attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., allege the following against 15 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"): 16 17 INTRODUCTION 18 19 1. Plaintiffs' Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices 20 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from 21 engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices. 22 23 24 25

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Defendant conducts business and has an office in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiffs are natural persons residing in Camdon, New Jersey 08104.
- 6. Plaintiffs are each a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a), and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec 22, 2000).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044.
- 8. Defendant collects, and attempts to collect, consumer debts incurred, or alleged to have been incurred, for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors and debt buyers using the U.S. Mail, telephone and/or internet.

9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiffs in its attempts to collect a debt for other persons.

10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant was contacting Plaintiffs in an attempt to collect the debt of another person.
- 11. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. Beginning in November 2011, and continuing through April 2012, Defendant repeatedly and continuously contacted Plaintiffs on their home telephone in an attempt to collect a consumer debt.
- 13. Plaintiffs received phone calls and voicemail messages from Defendant on a number of occasions from the following phone numbers: (866) 278-1213 and (866) 426-4288, which the undersigned has confirmed are phone numbers for Defendant.
 - 14. Defendant placed repeated calls to Plaintiffs' home telephone almost

everyday, sometimes calling as frequently as four (4) times a day.

- 15. In addition to calling Plaintiffs, Defendant would leave voicemail messages on Plaintiffs' answering machine.
- 16. Defendant identified the debtors as "LaTonya Horsey" and "Don Horsey."
- 17. Further, in its messages, Defendant disclosed to Plaintiffs that these individuals owed a debt, stating, "This is NCO Financial calling for LaTonya Horsey (or Don Horsey). This is a debt collector attempting to collect a debt. Please call us (866) 278-1213 and reference ID Code [unintelligible]."
- 18. On numerous occasions, Plaintiffs answered Defendant's calls and informed them that Plaintiffs are not "LaTonya Horsey" or "Don Horsey," that "LaTonya Horsey and Don Horsey" do not live with them, and to stop calling them.
- 15. Defendant's collectors, including Greg Duncan, would argue with Plaintiffs stating, "you must know LaTonya and Don," and "let me talk to her/him."
 - 16. Out of frustration, Plaintiffs have hung up on Defendant.
- 17. Following Plaintiffs' conversations with Defendant, Defendant failed to investigate or verify contact information prior to and after calling Plaintiffs.
 - 18. Defendant failed to update its records to avoid further harassment of

Plaintiffs.

- 19. Instead, Defendant continued to contact Plaintiffs in its attempts to collect a debt of other people.
 - 20. Most recently, Defendant contacted Plaintiffs on April 20, 2012.
- 21. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect the alleged debt were harassing, abusive and highly deceptive.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

22. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:

COUNT I

- 23. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692b(2) and 1692b(3).
 - a. Section 1692b(2) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from disclosing that a consumer owes a debt when it is communicating with a person other then the consumer for the purpose of acquiring location information.
 - b. Section 1692b(3) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from communicating with any person other than a consumer more than once unless requested to do so by such person or unless the

debt collector reasonably believes that the earlier response of such person is erroneous or incomplete and that such person now has correct or complete location information.

c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692b(2) and 1692b(3) of the FDCPA by communicating with Plaintiffs more than once about another person's debt, and disclosing the existence of the debt to Plaintiffs.

COUNT II

- 24. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692d and 1692d(5).
 - a. Section 1692d of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in any conduct the natural consequences of which is to harass, oppress or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. Section 1692d(5) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
 - c. Defendant violated §§1692d, and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it caused Plaintiffs' telephone to ring almost everyday, calling at

least two (2) times each day, and sometimes calling as frequently as four (4) times a day, with the intent to annoy, abuse and harass Plaintiffs.

COUNT II

- 25. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692f.
 - a. Section 1692f of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
 - b. Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA when it failed to update its records to avoid contacting Plaintiffs after Plaintiffs instructed to stop calling them, that the debtors did not live with them, and that the telephone number did not belong to the debtors.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, MAZIE HORSEY and JAMES JOHNSON, respectfully pray for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual compensatory damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);

- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiffs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiffs, MAZIE HORSEY and JAMES JOHNSON, demand a jury trial in this case.

DATED: 05/29/12

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By:

CRAIG THOR KIMMEL Attorney ID # 57100 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888 ext. 116

Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com